

Appendix A. Search Strategy

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

PubMed - ~1946 - 4/20/2014

SEARCH STRATEGY:

gout OR gouty

AND

X-ray* OR radiograph* OR erosion OR diagnostic imaging[mh] OR radiography [Subheading] OR Computed tomography OR Computer tomography OR dual energy CT OR DECT OR Radiography, Dual-Energy Scanned Projection[mh] OR Ultrasound OR Ultrasonography[mh] OR Ultrasonography[sh] OR double contour OR radionuclide imaging [Subheading] OR (polariz* AND microscop*) OR Joint aspiration OR Serum urate OR Uric acid OR Crystal* OR Tophi OR tophus OR tophaceous OR Synovial fluid OR Urate OR kidney stones OR Kidney Calculi[mh] OR urate stones OR gouty nephropathy OR Hyperuricemia OR clinical symptom*

AND

Accura* OR Sensitivity and specificity[mh] OR Sensitivity[tiab] OR Specificity[tiab] OR False positive reactions[mh] OR false positive* OR False negative reactions[mh] OR False negative* OR Predictive value OR predictive value of tests[mh] OR Distinguish* OR Differential* OR Identif* OR Detect* OR valid* OR reliab* OR reproducibility of results

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Web of Science SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH – 1/1/1980 - 4/21/2014

SEARCH STRATEGY:

ts=(gout OR gouty)

AND

ts=(x-ray* or radiograph* or erosion or diagnostic imaging or Computed tomography or Computer tomography or dual energy CT or DECT or Ultrasound or Ultrasonograph* or double contour or radionuclide or (polariz* and microscop*) or Joint aspiration or Serum urate or Uric acid or Crystal* or Tophi or tophus or tophaceous or Synovial fluid or Urate or kidney stones or Kidney Calculi or urate stones or gouty nephropathy or Hyperuricemia or clinical symptom*)

AND

ts=(Accura* or Sensitivity or Specificity or false positive* or False negative* or Predictive value or Distinguish* or Differential* or Identif* or Detect* or valid* or reliab* or reproducibility of results)

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Cochrane – Earliest - 4/21/2014

SEARCH STRATEGY:

gout or gouty

AND

x-ray* or radiograph* or erosion or diagnostic imaging or Computed tomography or Computer tomography or dual energy CT or DECT or Ultrasound or Ultrasonograph* or double contour or radionuclide or (polariz* and microscop*) or Joint aspiration or Serum urate or Uric acid or Crystal* or

Tophi or tophus or tophaceous or Synovial fluid or Urate or kidney stones or Kidney Calculi or urate stones or gouty nephropathy or Hyperuricemia or clinical symptom* IN TITLE, ABSTRACT, KEYWORD
AND

Accura* or Sensitivity or Specificity or false positive* or False negative* or Predictive value or Distinguish* or Differential* or Identif* or Detect* or valid* or reliab* or reproducibility of results

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Embase 1/1/1972-present

LIMITERS:

Humans

SEARCH STRATEGY:

'gout' OR 'gout'/exp OR gout OR gouty
AND

'x ray'/exp OR 'x ray' OR 'x rays'/exp OR 'x rays' OR 'x-ray'/exp OR 'x-ray' OR 'x-rays'/exp OR 'x-rays'
OR radiograph* OR 'erosion'/exp OR erosion OR 'diagnostic imaging'/exp OR 'diagnostic imaging' OR
'computed tomography'/exp OR 'computed tomography' OR 'computer tomography'/exp OR 'computer
tomography' OR 'dual energy ct' OR dect OR 'ultrasound'/exp OR ultrasound OR ultrasonograph* OR
'double contour' OR 'radionuclide'/exp OR radionuclide OR microscop* OR 'joint aspiration'/exp OR
'joint aspiration' OR 'serum urate'/exp OR 'serum urate' OR 'uric acid'/exp OR 'uric acid' OR crystal* OR
tophi OR tophus OR tophaceous OR 'synovial fluid'/exp OR 'synovial fluid' OR 'urate'/exp OR urate OR
('kidney'/exp OR kidney AND stones) OR ('kidney'/exp OR kidney AND ('calculi'/exp OR calculi)) OR
'urate stones'/exp OR 'urate stones' OR 'gouty nephropathy' OR 'hyperuricemia'/exp OR hyperuricemia
OR 'hyperuricaemia'/exp OR hyperuricaemia OR 'clinical symptom' OR 'clinical symptoms'
AND

accura* OR sensitivity OR specificity OR 'false positive' OR 'false positives' OR 'false negative' OR 'false
negatives' OR 'predictive value'/exp OR 'predictive value' OR distinguish* OR differential* OR identif*
OR detect* OR valid* OR reliab* OR 'reproducibility of results'/exp OR 'reproducibility of results'

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Web of Science – 1/1/2006-4/25/2014

SEARCH STRATEGY:

Forward search on the following article:

EULAR evidence based recommendations for gout. Part I: Diagnosis. Report of a task force of the
standing committee for international clinical studies including therapeutics (ESCISIT)

W Zhang, M Doherty, E Pascual, T Bardin, V Barskova, P Conaghan, J Gerster,

J Jacobs, B Leeb, F Liote´, G McCarthy, P Netter, G Nuki, F Perez-Ruiz, A Pignone,

J Pimentaˆo, L Punzi, E Roddy, T Uhlig, I Zimmermann-Go`rksa

Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:1301–1311. doi: 10.1136/ard.2006.055251

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

SCOPUS – 1/1/2006-3/19/2014

SEARCH STRATEGY:

Forward search on the following article:

EULAR evidence based recommendations for gout. Part I: Diagnosis. Report of a task force of the standing committee for international clinical studies including therapeutics (ESCISIT)

W Zhang, M Doherty, E Pascual, T Bardin, V Barskova, P Conaghan, J Gerster, J Jacobs, B Leeb, F Liote´, G McCarthy, P Netter, G Nuki, F Perez-Ruiz, A Pignone, J Pimenta˜o, L Punzi, E Roddy, T Uhlig, I Zimmermann-Go`rska
Ann Rheum Dis 2006;65:1301–1311. doi: 10.1136/ard.2006.055251

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Grey Literature Report – no date limitation

SEARCH STRATEGY:

Gout OR gouty

NUMBER OF RESULTS: 0

DATABASE SEARCHED & TIME PERIOD COVERED:

Embase (rerun of 4/22/2014 search) – 1/1/1972-8/13/2014

LIMITERS:

Humans

SEARCH STRATEGY:

'gout' OR 'gout'/exp OR gout OR gouty

AND

'x ray'/exp OR 'x ray' OR 'x rays'/exp OR 'x rays' OR 'x-ray'/exp OR 'x-ray' OR 'x-rays'/exp OR 'x-rays' OR radiograph* OR 'erosion'/exp OR erosion OR 'diagnostic imaging'/exp OR 'diagnostic imaging' OR 'computed tomography'/exp OR 'computed tomography' OR 'computer tomography'/exp OR 'computer tomography' OR 'dual energy ct' OR dect OR 'ultrasound'/exp OR ultrasound OR ultrasonograph* OR 'double contour' OR 'radionuclide'/exp OR radionuclide OR microscop* OR 'joint aspiration'/exp OR 'joint aspiration' OR 'serum urate'/exp OR 'serum urate' OR 'uric acid'/exp OR 'uric acid' OR crystal* OR tophi OR tophus OR tophaceous OR 'synovial fluid'/exp OR 'synovial fluid' OR 'urate'/exp OR urate OR ('kidney'/exp OR kidney AND stones) OR ('kidney'/exp OR kidney AND ('calculi'/exp OR calculi)) OR 'urate stones'/exp OR 'urate stones' OR 'gouty nephropathy' OR 'hyperuricemia'/exp OR hyperuricemia OR 'hyperuricaemia'/exp OR hyperuricaemia OR 'clinical symptom' OR 'clinical symptoms'

AND

accura* OR sensitivity OR specificity OR 'false positive' OR 'false positives' OR 'false negative' OR 'false negatives' OR 'predictive value'/exp OR 'predictive value' OR distinguish* OR differential* OR identif* OR detect* OR valid* OR reliab* OR 'reproducibility of results'/exp OR 'reproducibility of results'

CLINICALTRIALS.GOV

CONDITION = "Gout"

RECEIVED FROM: Earliest in database to 5/6//2014

Appendix B. List of Excluded Studies

Not Human – N=1

1. Sundaram PV, Igloi MP, Wassermann R, et al. Immobilized-enzyme nylon-tube reactor for routine determination of uric acid in serum. *Clin Chem*. 1978 Oct;24(10):1813-7. PMID: 699291.

Diagnostic Method beyond scope of review- N=44

1. Backhaus M, Schmidt WA, Mellerowicz H, et al. [Technical aspects and value of arthrosonography in rheumatologic diagnosis. 4: Ultrasound of the elbow]. *Z Rheumatol*. 2002 Aug;61(4):415-25. PMID: 12426847.

2. Barber C, Thompson K, Hanly JG. Impact of a rheumatology consultation service on the diagnostic accuracy and management of gout in hospitalized patients. *J Rheumatol*. 2009 Aug;36(8):1699-704. PMID: 19567626.

3. Chauhan N, Pundir CS. An amperometric uric acid biosensor based on multiwalled carbon nanotube-gold nanoparticle composite. *Anal Biochem*. 2011 Jun 15;413(2):97-103. PMID: 21315682.

4. Cheng X, Haggins DG, York RH, et al. Analysis of crystals leading to joint arthropathies by Raman spectroscopy: comparison with compensated polarized imaging. *Appl Spectrosc*. 2009 Apr;63(4):381-6. PMID: 19366502.

5. Chu QC, Lin M, Geng CH, et al. Determination of uric acid in human saliva and urine using miniaturized capillary electrophoresis with amperometric detection. *Chromatographia*. 2007 Feb;65(3-4):179-84. PMID: WOS:000244670700007.

6. Dodd LG, Major NM. Fine-needle aspiration cytology of articular and periarticular lesions. *Cancer*. 2002 Jun 25;96(3):157-65. PMID: 12115304.

7. Friedman RJ, Hawthorne KB, Genez BM. The use of computerized tomography in the measurement of glenoid version. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1992 Aug;74(7):1032-7. PMID: 1522089.

8. Galvez J, Saiz E, Linares LF, et al. Delayed examination of synovial fluid by ordinary and polarised light microscopy to detect and identify crystals. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2002 May;61(5):444-7. PMID: 11959769.

9. Goldenberg DL, Cohen AS. Synovial membrane histopathology in the differential diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis, gout, pseudogout, systemic lupus erythematosus, infectious arthritis and degenerative joint disease. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 1978 May;57(3):239-52. PMID: 642792.

10. Heidelmann G, Thiele P, Crasselt C, et al. [Uncharacteristic symptoms of the motor apparatus in gout]. *Z Rheumatol*. 1975 Nov-Dec;34(11-12):381-90. PMID: 1210793.
11. Hujazi I, Ambler G, Arora A, et al. Role of Newman's classification in predicting outcomes in patients with crystal arthritis. *International Orthopaedics*. 2012 Jun;36(6):1287-90. PMID: WOS:000304160000027.
12. Ivorra J, Rosas J, Pascual E. Most calcium pyrophosphate crystals appear as non-birefringent. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1999 Sep;58(9):582-4. PMID: 10460193.
13. Johnson JS, Freemont AJ. A 10 year retrospective comparison of the diagnostic usefulness of synovial fluid and synovial biopsy examination. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*. 2001;54(8):605-7.
14. Kaneko K, Maru M. Determination of urate crystal formation using flow cytometry and microarea X-ray diffractometry. *Anal Biochem*. 2000 May 15;281(1):9-14. PMID: 10847604.
15. Klaus R, Fischer W, Hauck HE. Qualitative and Quantitative-Analysis of Uric-Acid, Creatine and Creatinine Together with Carbohydrates in Biological-Material by Hptlc. *Chromatographia*. 1991 Oct;32(7-8):307-16. PMID: WOS:A1991GP25100001.
16. Koski JM, Hermunen HS, Kilponen VM, et al. Verification of palpation-guided intra-articular injections using glucocorticoid-air-saline mixture and ultrasound imaging (GAS-graphy). *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*. 2006;24(3):247-52.
17. Kratky G, Hefel P. [Differential diagnosis of knee joint fluid. Enzymatic analysis (author's transl)]. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 1978 Dec 12;93(1):65-73. PMID: 727932.
18. Lenz W, Klein W, Huth F. [Needle biopsy in gout and pseudogout (author's transl)]. *Beitr Pathol*. 1976 Apr;157(2):161-82. PMID: 179523.
19. Li X, Wang X, Yu Y, et al. Value of dual energy spectral CT for detecting uric acid deposition in tophaceous gout with base material mapping. *Chinese Journal of Radiology (China)*. 2014;48(4):303-7.
20. Lichtenstein MJ, Pincus T. How useful are combinations of blood tests in "rheumatic panels" in diagnosis of rheumatic diseases? *J Gen Intern Med*. 1988 Sep-Oct;3(5):435-42. PMID: 3262732.
21. Lin J, Zhao GQ, Che CY, et al. Characteristics of ocular abnormalities in gout patients. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2013;6(3):307-11. PMID: 23826523.
22. Lin X, Wang YF, Sun JY, et al. Determination of uric acid in human plasma and urine by microemulsion electrokinetic chromatography. *Analytical Methods*. 2013;5(19):5201-7. PMID: WOS:000324489100035.

23. Liu Y, Yu P, Sun X, et al. Metabolite target analysis of human urine combined with pattern recognition techniques for the study of symptomatic gout. *Mol Biosyst*. 2012 Nov;8(11):2956-63. PMID: 22932763.
24. Matsubara C, Yokoi Y, Nakamichi N, et al. [Spectrophotometric determination of uric acid in serum using a titanium (IV)-porphyrin complex]. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. 1994 Jan;114(1):48-53. PMID: 8133459.
25. McGill NW, McGill VG. Quality assurance for synovial fluid examination for crystals: an improved method. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1997 Aug;56(8):504-6. PMID: 9306876.
26. Medellin MV, Erickson AR, Enzenauer RJ. Variability of treatment for gouty arthritis between rheumatologists and primary care physicians. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 1997 Feb;3(1):24-7. PMID: 19078113.
27. Menghini S, Della Corte E. [Evaluation of hyperuricemia caused by fructose in a status of altered uric acid metabolism]. *Quad Sclavo Diagn*. 1987 Dec;23(4):441-6. PMID: 3509875.
28. Nasonova VA, Zakharova MM, Barskova VG, et al. [Detection of sodium monurate crystals in biopsies of gastric mucosa in patients with gout]. *Ter Arkh*. 2004;76(6):47-51. PMID: 15332576.
29. Papanicolas LE, Hakendorf P, Gordon DL. Concomitant Septic Arthritis in Crystal Monoarthritis. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 2012 Jan;39(1):157-60. PMID: WOS:000299400500028.
30. Pascual E, Tovar J, Ruiz MT. The ordinary light microscope: An appropriate tool for provisional detection and identification of crystals in synovial fluid. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 1989;48(12):983-5.
31. Piliaev VG, Petrov VP, Pikhla EG, et al. [The importance of arthroscopy and guided biopsy of the knee joint in gout]. *Revmatologiya (Mosk)*. 1989 Oct-Dec(4):15-8. PMID: 2637459.
32. Pobirci O, Bogdan F, Pobirci DD, et al. The study of synovitis with articular inflammatory liquid, through clinical-statistical, histological and immunohistochemical methods. *Rom J Morphol Embryol*. 2011;52(1 Suppl):333-8. PMID: 21424072.
33. Schlesinger N. Response to application of ice may help differentiate between gouty arthritis and other inflammatory arthritides. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 2006 Dec;12(6):275-6. PMID: 17149056.
34. Shidham V, Chivukula M, Basir Z, et al. Evaluation of crystals in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections for the differential diagnosis of pseudogout, gout, and tumoral calcinosis. *Mod Pathol*. 2001 Aug;14(8):806-10. PMID: 11504841.
35. Sivera F, Aragon R, Pascual E. First metatarsophalangeal joint aspiration using a 29-gauge needle. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2008 Feb;67(2):273-5. PMID: 17557892.

36. Song JS, Choi ST, Park EH. The usefulness of procalcitonin for differentiating acute gout arthritis from infectious disease. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2014;73.
37. Strobel G, Schwarz R, Heppt P, et al. [Use of an improved transmission ultrasound camera for diagnosis of rheumatic joint diseases]. *Z Rheumatol*. 1993 Mar-Apr;52(2):114-20. PMID: 8517073.
38. Vasil'ev A, Obramenko IE. [Magnetic resonance imaging differential diagnosis of psoriatic polyarthropathy with gouty polyarthropathy and rheumatoid polyarthrititis]. *Vestn Rentgenol Radiol*. 2013 Jan-Feb(1):29-33. PMID: 23700923.
39. Yamakita J, Yamamoto T, Moriwaki Y, et al. Effect of urine storage on urinary uric acid concentrations. *Ann Clin Biochem*. 2000 May;37 (Pt 3):355-9. PMID: 10817251.
40. Yin L, Zhu J, Xue Q, et al. Micropure imaging for the evaluation of microcalcifications in gouty arthritis involving the first metatarsophalangeal joint: A Preliminary Study. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(5).
41. Yu MX, He ZG, Zhang NZ. [Analysis of knee arthroscopic findings in rheumatic diseases]. *Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi*. 1990 Dec;29(12):713-6, 64. PMID: 2092955.
42. Zamani B, Jamali R, Ehteram H. Synovial fluid adenosine deaminase and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein activity in differentiating monoarthritis. *Rheumatol Int*. 2012 Jan;32(1):183-8. PMID: 20721560.
43. Zborovskii AB, Stazharov M, Martem'ianov VF. [Purine metabolism enzymes in diagnosis and differential diagnosis of osteoarthritis and gout arthritis]. *Ter Arkh*. 2000;72(4):21-4. PMID: 10833792.
44. Zsernaviczky J, Dressler D. [Gout, pseudo-gout or hyperlipoproteinemia? (author's transl)]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb*. 1976 Apr;114(2):243-7. PMID: 1274401.

Not gout diagnosis or management - N=69

1. Antommattei O, Schumacher HR, Reginato AJ, et al. Prospective study of morphology and phagocytosis of synovial fluid monosodium urate crystals in gouty arthritis. *J Rheumatol*. 1984 Dec;11(6):741-4. PMID: 6520827.
2. Artmann A, Ratzenbock M, Noszian I, et al. [Dual energy CT--a new perspective in the diagnosis of gout]. *Rofo*. 2010 Mar;182(3):261-6. PMID: 19862652.
3. Bardin T. Epidemiology of gout. *Épidémiologie de la goutte*. 2007;74(2):147-9.

4. Blaauw AAM, Schuwirth LWT, Vandervleuten CPM, et al. Assessing Clinical Competence - Recognition of Case Descriptions of Rheumatic Diseases by General-Practitioners. *British Journal of Rheumatology*. 1995 Apr;34(4):375-9. PMID: WOS:A1995RC64700016.
5. Choi HK, Burns LC, Shojania K, et al. Dual energy CT in gout: a prospective validation study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2012 Sep;71(9):1466-71. PMID: 22387729.
6. Craig MH, Poole GV, Hauser CJ. Postsurgical gout. *Am Surg*. 1995 Jan;61(1):56-9. PMID: 7832383.
7. Dalbeth N, Clark B, Gregory K, et al. Computed tomography measurement of tophus volume: comparison with physical measurement. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2007 Apr 15;57(3):461-5. PMID: 17394233.
8. de Avila Fernandes E, Kubota ES, Sandim GB, et al. Ultrasound features of tophi in chronic tophaceous gout. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2011 Mar;40(3):309-15. PMID: 20676636.
9. Dihlmann W, Dihlmann A. [Osteoclastic finger arthrosis--a subtype of hand polyarthrosis]. *Rofo*. 1998 Feb;168(2):128-32. PMID: 9519043.
10. Dixit AK, Dey RK, Panda AK, et al. Biochemical and serological profiling of sandhi shoola (Arthralgia) patients of ayurveda hospital. *International Journal of Research in Ayurveda and Pharmacy*. 2013 March/April;4(2):141-4. PMID: 2013400880 FULL TEXT LINK <http://dx.doi.org/10.7897/2277-4343.04210>.
11. Eid AS, Burrows V, Murray JRM, et al. Are we managing acute knee effusion well? *British Journal of Medical Practitioners*. 2012;5(1) PMID: 2012260180.
12. Fernandes EA, Lopes MG, Mitraud SA, et al. Ultrasound characteristics of gouty tophi in the olecranon bursa and evaluation of their reproducibility. *Eur J Radiol*. 2012 Feb;81(2):317-23. PMID: 21237599.
13. Feydy A, Liote F, Carlier R, et al. Cervical spine and crystal-associated diseases: imaging findings. *Eur Radiol*. 2006 Feb;16(2):459-68. PMID: 15856241.
14. Fiechtner JJ, Simkin PA. Urate spherulites in gouty synovia. *JAMA*. 1981 Apr 17;245(15):1533-6. PMID: 7206161.
15. Filippucci E, Delle Sedie A, Riente L, et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XLVII. Ultrasound of the shoulder in patients with gout and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2013 Sep-Oct;31(5):659-64. PMID: 24050142.
16. Filippucci E, Scire CA, Delle Sedie A, et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist. XXV. Sonographic assessment of the knee in patients with gout and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2010 Jan-Feb;28(1):2-5. PMID: 20346230.

17. Gaber W, Ezzat Y, Abd El Rahman SF. Role of diagnostic ultrasonography in detecting gouty arthritis. *Egyptian Rheumatologist*. 2013 April;35(2):71-5. PMID: 2013171876 FULL TEXT LINK <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejr.2012.12.003>.
18. Gamez-Nava JI, Gonzalez-Lopez L, Davis P, et al. Referral and diagnosis of common rheumatic diseases by primary care physicians. *British Journal of Rheumatology*. 1998;37(11):1215-9.
19. Gancheva RN, Kundurdjiev A, Ivanova M, et al. Ultrasonographic examination of target organs in gout. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2014;73.
20. Gordon TP, Bertouch JV, Walsh BR, et al. Monosodium urate crystals in asymptomatic knee joints. *J Rheumatol*. 1982 Nov-Dec;9(6):967-9. PMID: 7161792.
21. Grassi W, Filippucci E, Farina A, et al. Sonographic imaging of the distal phalanx. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2000 Jun;29(6):379-84. PMID: 10924024.
22. Gruber M, Bodner G, Rath E, et al. Dual-energy computed tomography compared with ultrasound in the diagnosis of gout. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2014 Jan;53(1):173-9. PMID: 24136065.
23. Gugli V, Calame L, Gerster JC. Contribution of digit joint aspiration to the diagnosis of rheumatic diseases. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2002;69(1):58-61.
24. Gutiérrez M, Di Geso L, Rovisco J, et al. Ultrasound learning curve in gout: A disease-oriented training program. *Arthritis Care and Research*. 2013;65(8):1265-74.
25. Hollingworth P, Williams PL, Scott JT. Frequency of chondrocalcinosis of the knees in asymptomatic hyperuricaemia and rheumatoid arthritis: a controlled study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1982 Aug;41(4):344-6. PMID: 7114915.
26. Howard RG, Pillinger MH, Gyftopoulos S, et al. Reproducibility of musculoskeletal ultrasound for determining monosodium urate deposition: concordance between readers. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011 Oct;63(10):1456-62. PMID: 21702086.
27. Jozsa L, Reffy A, Kannus P, et al. Pathological alterations in human tendons. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 1990;110(1):15-21. PMID: 2288799.
28. Kayamori Y, Katayama Y. A Sensitive Determination of Uric-Acid in Serum Using Uricase/Catalase/Formaldehyde Dehydrogenase Coupled with Formate Dehydrogenase. *Clinical Biochemistry*. 1994 Apr;27(2):93-7. PMID: WOS:A1994NF30600004.
29. Konatalapalli RM, Demarco PJ, Jelinek JS, et al. Gout in the axial skeleton. *J Rheumatol*. 2009 Mar;36(3):609-13. PMID: 19208604.

30. Kotz R, Skalova M, Friza B, et al. [Vasoconstrictor phenomenon (P factor) in the synovial fluid of chronic inflammatory joint diseases]. *Wien Med Wochenschr.* 1970 Apr 18;120(16):288-9. PMID: 4110747.
31. Lawry GV, 2nd, Fan PT, Bluestone R. Polyarticular versus monoarticular gout: a prospective, comparative analysis of clinical features. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 1988 Sep;67(5):335-43. PMID: 3412175.
32. Lequesne M, Bensasson M, Kahn MF, et al. [Gout, hyperuricemia and femur head osteonecrosis (FHON)]. *Rev Rhum Mal Osteoartic.* 1975 Mar;42(3):177-83. PMID: 1179124.
33. Levin RW, Park J, Ostrov B, et al. Clinical assessment of the 1987 American College of Rheumatology criteria for rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol.* 1996;25(5):277-81. PMID: 8921919.
34. Link TM, Gaubitz M, Lenzen H, et al. [Clinical use of magnification radiography in rheumatologic differential diagnosis]. *Z Rheumatol.* 1993 May-Jun;52(3):161-6. PMID: 8368021.
35. Liote F, Lancrenon S, Lanz S, et al. GOSPEL: prospective survey of gout in France. Part I: design and patient characteristics (n = 1003). *Joint Bone Spine.* 2012 Oct;79(5):464-70. PMID: 22281230.
36. Liu W, Xue HD, Zeng XJ, et al. [Application of dual-energy computed tomography for detecting uric acid deposition in patients with gout]. *Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao.* 2010 Dec;32(6):645-8. PMID: 21219793.
37. Mallinson PI, Reagan AC, Coupal T, et al. The distribution of urate deposition within the extremities in gout: a review of 148 dual-energy CT cases. *Skeletal Radiol.* 2014 Mar;43(3):277-81. PMID: 24337414.
38. Mohanaruban K, Joglekar M, Swift CG, et al. Significance of single serum urate estimations in acutely hospitalized elderly patients. *Age Ageing.* 1987 Jul;16(4):221-4. PMID: 3630844.
39. Narasaki K. [Clinical studies of gout. Problems in the areas outside the cities]. *Geka Chiryo.* 1970 Mar;22(3):296-300. PMID: 5467647.
40. Naredo E, Uson J, Jimenez-Palop M, et al. Ultrasound-detected musculoskeletal urate crystal deposition: which joints and what findings should be assessed for diagnosing gout? *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2013 May 24; PMID: 23709244.
41. Oliviero F, Scanu A, Galozzi P, et al. Prevalence of calcium pyrophosphate and monosodium urate crystals in synovial fluid of patients with previously diagnosed joint diseases. *Joint Bone Spine.* 2013 May;80(3):287-90. PMID: 23021157.

42. Park J, Schumacher HR, Jr. The clinical significance of cytoplasmic inclusions(CPI) in synovial fluid examination. *J Korean Med Sci*. 1996 Aug;11(4):326-31. PMID: 8878801.
43. Pascual E, Batlle-Gualda E, Martinez A, et al. Synovial fluid analysis for diagnosis of intercritical gout. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1999;131(10):756-9.
44. Paul H, Reginato AJ, Schumacher HR. Alizarin red S staining as a screening test to detect calcium compounds in synovial fluid. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1983 Feb;26(2):191-200. PMID: 6186260.
45. Peiteado D, De Miguel E, Villalba A, et al. Value of a short four-joint ultrasound test for gout diagnosis: a pilot study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2012 Nov-Dec;30(6):830-7. PMID: 23020889.
46. Pelaez-Ballesteros I, Cuevas CH, Burgos-Vargas R, et al. Diagnosis of Chronic Gout: Evaluating the American College of Rheumatology Proposal, European League Against Rheumatism Recommendations, and Clinical Judgment. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 2010 Aug;37(8):1743-8. PMID: WOS:000280860600028.
47. Pérez Ruiz F, Ruiz López J, Herrero Beites AM. Influence of the natural history of disease on a previous diagnosis in patients with gout. *Influencia de la historia natural de la enfermedad en el diagnóstico previo en pacientes con gota*. 2009;5(6):248-51.
48. Poor G. Crystal arthritis. *Baillieres Clin Rheumatol*. 1995 May;9(2):397-406. PMID: 7656347.
49. Popp JD, Bidgood WD, Jr., Edwards NL. Magnetic resonance imaging of tophaceous gout in the hands and wrists. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 1996 Feb;25(4):282-9. PMID: 8834016.
50. Prowse RL, Dalbeth N, Kavanaugh A, et al. A Delphi exercise to identify characteristic features of gout - Opinions from patients and physicians, the first stage in developing new classification criteria. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 2013;40(4):498-505.
51. Puig JG, de Miguel E, Castillo MC, et al. Asymptomatic hyperuricemia: impact of ultrasonography. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids*. 2008 Jun;27(6):592-5. PMID: 18600510.
52. Punzi L, Cimmino MA, Frizziero L, et al. Italian Society of Rheumatology (SIR) recommendations for performing arthrocentesis. *Raccomandazioni della Società Italiana di Reumatologia per l'esecuzione dell'artrocentesis*. 2007;59(3):227-34.
53. Raddatz DA, Mahowald ML, Bilka PJ. Acute polyarticular gout. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1983 Apr;42(2):117-22. PMID: 6847258.
54. Roddy E, Menon A, Hall A, et al. Polyarticular sonographic assessment of gout: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2013 May;80(3):295-300. PMID: 23142256.

55. Santos-Moreno P, Bello JM, Cubides M, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis misdiagnosis and osteoarthritis as the most frequent cause for diagnosis mistake. *Annals of the Rheumatic Disease*. 2013;71(3):2012-06.
56. Stamp LK, Zhu X, Dalbeth N, et al. Serum urate as a soluble biomarker in chronic gout-evidence that serum urate fulfills the OMERACT validation criteria for soluble biomarkers. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2011 Jun;40(6):483-500. PMID: 21056459.
57. Stiehl P, Hantzschel H. [Importance of cytologic synovial findings for the early diagnosis, differential diagnosis and activity assessment of joint diseases]. *Z Gesamte Inn Med*. 1971 Sep 15;26(18):573-81. PMID: 5127648.
58. Stockman A, Darlington LG, Scott JT. Frequency of chondrocalcinosis of the knees and avascular necrosis of the femoral heads in gout: a controlled study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 1980 Feb;39(1):7-11. PMID: 7377863.
59. Sun Y, Ma L, Zhou Y, et al. Features of urate deposition in patients with gouty arthritis of the foot using dual-energy computed tomography. *Int J Rheum Dis*. 2013 Nov 15PMID: 24238356.
60. Suteanu S, Ciobanu V, Gheorghiu M, et al. [Clinical and humoral studies of sero-negative rheumatoid arthritis. II]. *Med Interna (Bucur)*. 1969 Nov;21(11):1377-84. PMID: 5379704.
61. Tausche AK, Gehrisch S, Panzner I, et al. A 3-Day Delay in Synovial Fluid Crystal Identification Did Not Hinder the Reliable Detection of Monosodium Urate and Calcium Pyrophosphate Crystals. *Jcr-Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*. 2013 Aug;19(5):241-5. PMID: WOS:000330461400003.
62. Thiele RG, Schlesinger N. Diagnosis of gout by ultrasound. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2007 Jul;46(7):1116-21. PMID: 17468505.
63. Toyoda K, Miyamoto Y, Ida M, et al. Hyperechoic medulla of the kidneys. *Radiology*. 1989 Nov;173(2):431-4. PMID: 2678257.
64. Weinberger A, Schoenberg O, David M, et al. Synovial fluid examination in hyperuricemic psoriatic patients. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 1982 Jan;36(1):47-8. PMID: 7126772.
65. Weinfeld SB, Schon LC. Hallux metatarsophalangeal arthritis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1998 Apr(349):9-19. PMID: 9584362.
66. Wild JH, Zvaifler NJ. An office technique for identifying crystal in synovial fluid. *Am Fam Physician*. 1975 Jul;12(1):72-81. PMID: 168759.
67. Wu H, Xue J, Ye L, et al. The application of dual-energy computed tomography in the diagnosis of acute gouty arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol*. 2014 Apr 18PMID: 24744154.

68. Zayat AS, Conaghan P, Freeston J, et al. Ultrasound detected bone erosions: Are they specific for rheumatoid arthritis? *Rheumatology*. 2012 May;(2012) 51 SUPPL. 3:iii69.
69. Zillessen E, Baldauf G. [The distribution of destructive polyarthritic changes in the hand skeleton]. *Z Rheumatol*. 1978 Jul-Aug;37(7-8):225-32. PMID: 695997.

No original data - N=29

1. Borner H, Ewald HO. ["Calcium gout"]. *Dtsch Z Verdau Stoffwechselkr*. 1967 Dec;27(5):197-204. PMID: 5595740.
2. Bousson V, Hamze B, Wybier M, et al. [Soft tissue tumors and pseudotumors of the foot and ankle]. *J Radiol*. 2008 Jan;89(1 Pt 1):21-34. PMID: 18288023.
3. Brower AC. Appendicular arthropathy. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 1990 Jul;21(3):405-22. PMID: 2367097.
4. Chaturvedi V. A decade experience of a bedside MSK Ultrasound by a clinician - Was it worth it? *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;16:14.
5. de Miguel E. [Role of ultrasound in microcrystalline arthritis]. *Reumatol Clin*. 2008 Oct;4 Suppl 2:50-4. PMID: 21794565.
6. Dihlmann W. [Principles of roentgen diagnosis in inflammatory rheumatic joint diseases of the extremities]. *Internist (Berl)*. 1973 Aug;14(8):350-61. PMID: 4586535.
7. Dimov D. Actual aspects of gout diagnostics. *Revmatologiya*. 2009;17(1):22-7.
8. Grobner W, Zollner N. [Gout]. *Z Rheumatol*. 2004 Feb;63(1):2-9. PMID: 14991273.
9. Gutierrez M, Filippucci E, Salaffi F, et al. [The current role of ultrasound in the assessment of crystal-related arthropathies]. *Reumatismo*. 2009 Jul-Sep;61(3):216-21. PMID: 19888507.
10. Jansen TL. Rheumatology meets radiology in the hot soup of Gutta. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2012 Dec 19;14(6):126. PMID: 23256732.
11. Jiang GY, Yang XL. Diagnostic evaluation and clinical characteristics of gout. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Rehabilitation*. 2006 25;10(28):150-5. PMID: 2006395279.
12. Krajnc I, Pahor A. [Identification of crystals in synovial fluid]. *Reumatizam*. 1990;37(1-6):25-30. PMID: 1966921.
13. Leclercq P, Malaise MG. [Gout]. *Rev Med Liege*. 2004 May;59(5):274-80. PMID: 15264576.

14. Lioté F. Diagnosis of crystal-induced arthritis. Diagnostic d'une arthropathie microcristalline. 2011;40(9):869-76.
15. Lioté F, Bardin T. Treatment of gout. Traitement de la goutte. 2007;74(2):160-7.
16. Muller-Fassbender H, Bach GL. [Radiologic findings in gout]. Wien Med Wochenschr. 1997;147(16):377-81. PMID: 9446416.
17. Nieradko-Iwanicka B. Colchicine in treatment of acute gout attacks - Is it really necessary? Kolchicina w leczeniu ostrego napadu dny moczanowej - Czy jest rzeczywiście potrzebna? 2008;10(1):55-8.
18. Oliviero F, Pascual E, Punzi L. [Detection and identification of crystals in synovial fluid]. Reumatismo. 2005 Jul-Sep;57(3):208-11. PMID: 16258607.
19. Paparo F, Sconfienza LM, Muda A, et al. [Multimodality imaging of chronic tophaceous gout]. Reumatismo. 2010 Oct-Dec;62(4):286-91. PMID: 21253623.
20. Raab W. Dermal gout: Pathogenesis, clinic and therapy ORIGINAL (NON-ENGLISH) TITLE Hautgicht - Pathogenese, klinik und therapie. Haut. 2009 June;20(3):97-100. PMID: 2009367804.
21. Romhild N, Treutler H. [Roentgen examinations of joints in patients with gouty arthritis]. Z Gesamte Inn Med. 1982 Jul 1;37(13):421-3. PMID: 7136083.
22. Schlesinger N. Can ultrasonography make identification of asymptomatic hyperuricemic individuals at risk for developing gouty arthritis more crystal clear? Arthritis Research and Therapy. 2010;13(2).
23. Sebesta I, Krijt J, Schneiderka P. The importance of uric acid examination. Sb Lek. 1994;95(4):383-9. PMID: 8867710.
24. Sieper J. New aspects in diagnosis of inflammatory rheumatic diseases ORIGINAL (NON-ENGLISH) TITLE NEUE ASPEKTE IN DER DIAGNOSTIK ENTZUNDLICH-RHEUMATISCHER ERKRANKUNGEN. Klinikarzt. 1998 1998;27(2):23-7. PMID: 1998087889.
25. Tausche AK, Aringer M. Hyperuricemia and gout. Diagnostic and therapeutic approach. Hyperurikämie und gicht. Welche abklärung, welche therapie? 2009;49(4):743-54.
26. Villiger PM. [Gout and its differential diagnosis]. Ther Umsch. 2004 Sep;61(9):563-6. PMID: 15493116.
27. Vock P, Bonel H. [Uric acid, a neglected molecule--the value of imaging]. Ther Umsch. 2004 Sep;61(9):557-62. PMID: 15493115.

28. Winzer M, Gräßler J, Aringer M. Crystal-induced arthritis - Old but important. Kristallarthropathien - Alt, aber wichtig. 2007;66(4):317-25.

29. Zimmermann-Górska I. Diagnosis and therapy of crystal-induced diseases. Postępowanie diagnostyczno-terapeutyczne w chorobach wywołanych przez kryształy. 2012;50(2):177-80.

Conference proceedings/presentations/abstracts - N=37

1. Bhamra K, Seymour M, Swales C, et al. Utility of ultrasound in the nuffield orthopaedic centre emergency rheumatology clinic: Survey of clinical effectiveness. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2014;73.

2. Bhamra KK, Seymour MW, Swales CE, et al. Utility of ultrasound in the nuffield orthopaedic centre emergency rheumatology clinic: Survey of clinical effectiveness. Rheumatology (United Kingdom). 2014;53:i109.

3. Breuer GS, Bogot N, Nesher G. Dual-energy computed tomography as a diagnostic tool for gout during intercritical periods. Arthritis and Rheumatism. 2012 October;64 SUPPL. 10:S67.

4. Choi ST, Song JS. Serum procalcitonin could be a useful serologic marker for the differential diagnosis between acute gouty attack and bacterial infection. Arthritis and Rheumatism. 2011;63(10):2011-11.

5. Chowalloor P. Prevalence and distribution of subclinical synovitis in gout. Internal Medicine Journal. 2013 May;43 SUPPL. 2:22.

6. Chowalloor P, Keen H. Subclinical synovial inflammation in gout. Internal Medicine Journal. 2012 May;42 SUPPL.1:30.

7. Dalbeth N, Kalluru R, Aati O, et al. Tendon and ligament involvement in gout: A dual energy computed tomography study. Arthritis and Rheumatism. 2012 October;64 SUPPL. 10:S1095.

8. Das S, Ghosh P, Lahiri D, et al. Ultrasonographic features of gout and their relation with serum uric acid level. Indian Journal of Rheumatology. 2012 November;7 SUPPL. 1:S4.

9. Fabreguet I, So A, Dumusc A, et al. Utility of joint ultrasonography in the diagnosis of suspected acute crystal arthritis-results of a prospective controlled study of 90 patients. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2014;73.

10. Fiene M, Karnebeck V, Rosenberg C, et al. Tendon involvement in chronic tophaceous gout detected by dual-energy-computertomography. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2014;73.

11. Gelber AC, Lanzkron S, Maynard J, et al. Population-based association of sickle cell disease with gout. American Journal of Hematology. 2010 August;85(8):E9-E10.

12. Gruber M, Krestan C, Schueller-Weidekamm C, et al. Dual energy CT compared to sonography in the detection of acute and chronic gout. *Skeletal Radiology*. 2011 June;40(6):805-6.
13. Guillen Astete CA, Medina Quinones C, Ahijon Lana M, et al. Use of procalcitonin measurement to distinguish an infectious and a microcrystalline arthritis in an urgency environment. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
14. Holbrook M, Hermansen P, Palmer M, et al. Additional clinical effectiveness from a full synovial cytology service. *Acta Cytologica*. 2013 May;57 SUPPL. 1:41.
15. Iagnocco A. Ultrasound assessment of the cartilage. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
16. Kang T. Standard scans, sonographic anatomy, and basic sonographic pathology. *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;16:2.
17. Kienhorst L, Eijgelaar R, Janssen M. A comparison of three different types of synovial fluid preservation to study the stability of monosodium urate and calcium pyrophosphate dihydrate crystals. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
18. Kienhorst L, Janssens H, Van De Lisdonk E, et al. No exclusivity for gout in arthritis of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
19. Kudaeva F, Vladimirov S, Bozhieva L, et al. Usefulness of imaging techniques in mixed crystal deposition (pilot study). *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
20. Kuzmanova S, Solakov P, Sapoundjiev L. Establishment of msu crystals in synovial fluid by polarized light microscopy: Detection by four different methods. *Revmatologiya*. 2006;14(4):37-9.
21. Lamers-Karnebeek FB, Van Lieshout AW, Van Riel PL, et al. Ultrasonographic signals have additive value for gout in patients presenting with mono-/oligoarthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Disease*. 2013;71(3):2012-06.
22. Lee K, Choi ST, Kang EJ, et al. The performance of a novel scoring system in the differential diagnosis between acute gout and septic arthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
23. Mustak M, Boltuch-Sherif J, Kasper M, et al. Seronegative arthropathies: Value of dual-energy CT for differential diagnosis. *Journal fur Mineralstoffwechsel*. 2012 2012;19(4):175.
24. Mustak M, Boltuch-Sherif J, Kasper M, et al. Seronegative arthropathies: Value of dual-energy CT for differential diagnosis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Disease*. 2013;71(3):2012-06.

25. Ngoc LNT, Hoai TP. Ultrasound findings of ankle joint in gout disease. *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2012 September;15 SUPPL. 1:102-3.
26. Perez-Ruiz F, Castillo E, Chinchilla SP, et al. Coexistence of gout and pyrophosphate arthritis in two large cohorts: Hyperuricemia as a factor for clinical misdiagnosis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2014;73.
27. Petrova M, Mazurov V, Shemerovskaya T, et al. Asymptomatic hyperuricemia and markers of inflammation. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
28. Petsch C, Araujo E, Englbrecht M, et al. Gout and RA: Not such a rare coexistence after all. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2013 October;65 SUPPL. 10:S175.
29. Reuss-Borst M, Pape C, Tausche AK. Clinical and ultrasound findings in manual workers at high risk of occupational disability and hyperuricemia. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2014;73.
30. Schmidt WA, Huppertz A. Systematic staging for uric acid deposits with dual-energy computed tomography and ultrasound in suspected gout. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2013 October;65 SUPPL. 10:S88.
31. Sivera F, Pascual E. First metatarsophalangeal joint aspiration. *Seminarios de la Fundacion Espanola de Reumatologia*. 2007;8(3):127-9.
32. Slot O, Terslev L. Ultrasonographically guided dry-needle synovial tissue aspiration is a useful method to obtain material for diagnostic microscopy in patients with clinically and ultrasonographically suspected gout without synovial fluid effusion or clinical tophi. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013;72(3):2013-06.
33. Slot O, Terslev L. The double contour sign is a consistent finding in MTP joints in gout patients. Results from an ultrasound pilot study in daily clinical practice. *Annals of the Rheumatic Disease*. 2013;71(3):2012-06.
34. Solakov PT, Kuzmanova SI, Andreev SA. Establishment of MSUM crystals in synovial fluid by polarized light microscopy: Detection by four different methods. *Revmatologiya*. 2005;13(4):48-50.
35. Song JS, Choi ST, Kang EJ, et al. Serum procalcitonin could be a useful serologic marker for the differential diagnosis between acute gouty attack and bacterial infection. *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2012 September;15 SUPPL. 1:101.
36. Song JS, Lee KH, Choi ST, et al. The performance of a novel scoring system in the differential diagnosis between acute gout and septic arthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2013 October;65 SUPPL. 10:S507-S8.

37. Wang H, Glazebrook KN, Kavros SJ, et al. Gouty enthesopathy: An important pattern of uric acid deposition in difficult to diagnose gout. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2011;63(10):2011-11.

Could not obtain article - N=15

1. Chernin MM, Pitt MJ. Radiographic disease patterns at the carpus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1984 Jul-Aug(187):72-80. PMID: 6744739.

2. de Reus HD. [Chondrocalcinosis ("pseudogout")]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr*. 1974 Feb;99(8):363-5. PMID: 4822440.

3. Dimov D. Gout in women. II. Clinical laboratory and therapeutical features. *Revmatologiya*. 2008;16(3-4):3-7.

4. Hu HJ, Liao MY, Tian ZX, et al. The value of using dual-energy CT in the detection of monosodium urate crystals in patients with gout. *Chinese Journal of Radiology*. 2012 December;(2012) 46(12):1101-4. PMID: 2013018090 FULL TEXT LINK <http://dx.doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.1005-1201.2012.12.011>.

5. Liote F. [Diagnosis of crystal-induced arthritis]. *Presse Med*. 2011 Sep;40(9 Pt 1):869-76. PMID: 21816563.

6. Lioté F, Nguyen C. Gout: Diagnostic criteria, classification and the quality of life. *Goutte: critères de diagnostic, de classification et de qualité de vie*. 2010;77(2):144-7.

7. Ostovic KT, Kaic G, Ostovic I, et al. The importance of urgent cytological examination of synovial fluids in differentiation inflammatory and non-inflammatory joint diseases. *Coll Antropol*. 2010 Mar;34(1):145-52. PMID: 20432743.

8. Ottaviani S, Richette P, Allard A, et al. Ultrasonography in gout: a case-control study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*. 2012 Jul-Aug;30(4):499-504. PMID: 22512867.

9. Potocki K, Babic-Naglic D, Prutki M, et al. [Radiologic imaging of crystalline arthritides]. *Reumatizam*. 2012;59(2):97-104. PMID: 23745465.

10. Savina LV, Pavlishchuk SA, Samsygin V, et al. [Polarization microscopy in diagnosis of metabolic disorders]. *Klin Lab Diagn*. 2003 Mar(3):11-4. PMID: 12715390.

11. Schlapbach P, Pfluger D, Gerber NJ. Crystal Identification in Synovial-Fluid - Joint Specific Identification and Correlation with Preliminary Diagnosis. *Schweizerische Medizinische Wochenschrift*. 1992 Jun;122(25):969-74. PMID: WOS:A1992JA02500002.

12. Švec V. Validated diagnostic criteria for selected rheumatic disease. *Validované diagnostické kritériá vybraných reumatických chorôb*. 2013;27(2):95-105.

13. Vezikova NN, Ignat'ev VK. [Radionuclide diagnosis of local inflammation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis]. Ter Arkh. 1995;67(12):43-6. PMID: 8820057.
14. Wang L, Qiu L, Zhang LY. High-frequency ultrasonographic findings of gouty arthritis. Chinese Journal of Medical Imaging Technology. 2011 February;27(2):376-9. PMID: 2012256934.
15. Zhang Y, Zhang H, Guo JH, et al. [The diagnostic value of musculoskeletal ultrasound in gouty arthritis]. Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi. 2012 Apr;51(4):304-7. PMID: 22781952.

Gout management only - N=12

1. Carter JD, Kedar RP, Anderson SR, et al. An analysis of MRI and ultrasound imaging in patients with gout who have normal plain radiographs. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2009 Nov;48(11):1442-6. PMID: 19745028.
2. Choi HK, Al-Arfaj AM, Eftekhari A, et al. Dual energy computed tomography in tophaceous gout. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009 Oct;68(10):1609-12. PMID: 19066180.
3. Dalbeth N, Aati O, Gao A, et al. Assessment of tophus size: a comparison between physical measurement methods and dual-energy computed tomography scanning. J Clin Rheumatol. 2012 Jan;18(1):23-7. PMID: 22157268.
4. Dalbeth N, Ames R, Gamble GD, et al. Effects of skim milk powder enriched with glycomacropeptide and G600 milk fat extract on frequency of gout flares: a proof-of-concept randomised controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012 Jun;71(6):929-34. PMID: 22275296.
5. Maldonado BP, So A. The etiology and management of gout. Zeitschrift Fur Rheumatologie. 2012 Feb;71(2):127-36. PMID: WOS:000300854300007.
6. Ottaviani S, Allard A, Bardin T, et al. An exploratory ultrasound study of early gout. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2011 Sep-Oct;29(5):816-21. PMID: 22011529.
7. Ottaviani S, Gill G, Aubrun A, et al. Ultrasound in gout: A useful tool for follow-up with urate-lowering therapy. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2014;73.
8. Pérez Ruiz F. Treatment of Gout: Reflections From the GEMA Study. Tratamiento de la gota: reflexiones desde el estudio GEMA. 2008;4(SUPPL. 2):41-4.
9. Perez-Ruiz F, Carmona L, Yébenes MJG, et al. An Audit of the Variability of Diagnosis and Management of Gout in the Rheumatology Setting The Gout Evaluation and Management Study. Jcr-Journal of Clinical Rheumatology. 2011 Oct;17(7):349-55. PMID: WOS:000295320000001.
10. Perez-Ruiz F, Martin I, Canteli B. Ultrasonographic measurement of tophi as an outcome measure for chronic gout. J Rheumatol. 2007 Sep;34(9):1888-93. PMID: 17659752.

11. Schueller-Weidekamm C, Schueller G, Aringer M, et al. Impact of sonography in gouty arthritis: comparison with conventional radiography, clinical examination, and laboratory findings. *Eur J Radiol.* 2007 Jun;62(3):437-43. PMID: 17234377.
12. Shi D, Xu JX, Wu HX, et al. Methods of assessment of tophus and bone erosions in gout using dual-energy CT: reproducibility analysis. *Clinical Rheumatology.* 2014.

**No reference standard or not all patients received the reference standard -
N=3**

1. Filippucci E, Meenagh G, Delle Sedie A, et al. Ultrasound imaging for the rheumatologist XXXVI. Sonographic assessment of the foot in gout patients. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2011 Nov-Dec;29(6):901-5. PMID: 22206648.
2. Filippucci E, Riveros MG, Georgescu D, et al. Hyaline cartilage involvement in patients with gout and calcium pyrophosphate deposition disease. An ultrasound study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2009 Feb;17(2):178-81. PMID: 18657999.
3. Schlapbach P, Pfluger D, Gerber NJ. [Identification of crystals in synovial fluid: joint-specific identification rate and correlation with clinical preliminary diagnosis]. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr.* 1992 Jun 20;122(25):969-74. PMID: 1621079.

Appendix C. Evidence Table

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Bongartz et al., 2014 ³² Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 81 Mean Age: 60.3 [nr] Age Range: NR Percent Female: 42% Mean Duration Current Flare: 6 weeks (about half presented with a first flare of inflammatory arthritis and a symptom duration <6 weeks)	Test(s): DECT Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis snovial fluid analysis with electron microscopy All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: NA	Inclusion Criteria: referral to rheumatology clinic for joint aspiration or injection Exclusion Criteria: tophaceous gout	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives Adverse effects associated with testing Treatment decision resulting from diagnosis Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Duration of current episode/flare/symptoms Duration of these kinds of flares Physician: Rheumatologist Radiologists Practitioner: Rheumatologist
Glazebrook et al., 2011 ¹⁰ Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1] radiology dept.[]	Number of Patients: 94 Mean Age: 62.3 Age Range: 29-89 Percent Female: 41/94 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): DECT Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: NA	Inclusion Criteria: clinical suspicion of MSU crystals in affected joint; ordering of DECT; performance of DECT according to protocol between 4/08 and 2/10 Exclusion Criteria: participation in ongoing gout trial;	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives inter-rater reliability Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: None Physician: Radiologists Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Hasselbacher et al., 1987 ⁴³ Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: laboratories[26]	Number of Patients: 4 Mean Age: NR Age Range: NR Percent Female: NR Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes	Inclusion Criteria: Not applicable, this is a study of how labs differ in accuracy of detecting crystals Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: correct diagnosis by lab Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Not applicable Physician: Not applicable Practitioner: Technician
Huppertz et al., 2014 ³³ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 60 Mean Age: 62 [11.3] Age Range: 36-82 Percent Female: 0.18 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): DECT Ultrasound Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis algorithm incorporating a score incorporating serum uric acid level, first MTP joint involvement, gender, previous patient-reported arthritis attack, cardiovascular diseases, joint redness and onset within 1 day All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: NA	Inclusion Criteria: patients with suspicion of gout undergoing DECT Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: None Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Janssens et al., 2010 ²⁹ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: Primary care provider's office[NR]	Number of Patients: 328 Mean Age: 58.0±13.5 Age Range: NR Percent Female: 20% Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: ACR criteria. Initial diagnosis cut-off point set at >= 6 (modifying cut-off point did not induce any changes in sensitivity or specificity)	Inclusion Criteria: Patients presenting with a monoarthritis were included if the family physician suspected they had gout Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value Overall fraction correct Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Number of positive ACR criteria Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Rheumatologist
Janssens et al., 2010 ²⁸ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: Primary care provider's office[nr] University primary care department[]	Number of Patients: 328 Mean Age: 57.7 (13.6) Age Range: NR Percent Female: MSU+:10.5%; MSU-: 37.8% Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: male sex; previous patient-reported arthritis attack; onset within 1 day; joint redness; first MTP involvement; hypertension or 1 or more CVD; SUA>5.88mg/dL (other potential factors tested as well, e.g., other comorbidities and long-term followup)	Inclusion Criteria: diagnosis of gout by family physician Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: None Physician: Family Practice Rheumatologist Practitioner: Rheumatologist

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Kienhorst et al., 2013 ²⁴ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 390 Mean Age: NR Age Range: NR Percent Female: NR Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: no prior diagnosis	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: male sex; previous patient reported arthritis attack; onset within one day; joint redness; 1st MTP joint involvement; hypertension or one or more CVD, sUA>0.35 mmol/L	Inclusion Criteria: adult patients with signs and symptoms of monoarthritis and possibility of gout referred to a rheumatology clinic by a primary care physician Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: None Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Unclear/not specified
Kienhorst et al., 2014 ²⁶ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: Primary care provider's office[93] University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 159 Mean Age: 58.2[13.8] Age Range: NR Percent Female: 22.6 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: Need to see reference 2 (probably items listed in Table 1 but unclear if all)	Inclusion Criteria: patients who received probably or possible diagnosis of gout based on clinical signs/symptoms in primary care setting; monoarthritis of the first MTP joint Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: None Physician: Family Practice Rheumatologist Not specified or unclear Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Lai et al., 2011 ³⁶ Location: Asia Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 80 Mean Age: Gout patients: median age 74.0; Nongout patients median age 66.0 Age Range: 52.8-80.8 Percent Female: gout:17.6%; nongout: 52.2% Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: median: 8 years	Test(s): Ultrasound Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: NA	Inclusion Criteria: having undergone ultrasound guided joint aspiration at the authors' rheumatology division between march 2009 and March 2010 after presenting with mono or oligoarthritis with acute or subacute onset Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Another arthropathy Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Unclear/not specified
Lamers-Karnebeek et al., 2014 ³⁴ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 54 Mean Age: 59.0 Age Range: 41.8-69.5 Percent Female: 29.6 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Ultrasound Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes	Inclusion Criteria: acute onset of mono- or oligoarthritis Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value likelihood ratios and inter-rater reliability for US readings Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Another arthropathy US findings e.g., double contour sign, snowflake, tophus Physician: Rheumatologist Not specified or unclear Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Lenski et al., 2014 ²⁵ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: Hospital emergency department[1]	Number of Patients: 82 Mean Age: 72.4 Age Range: 30-96 Percent Female: NR Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Serum UA Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis cultures for septic arthritis Clinical Signs and Symptoms: The combination of tests was really to differentiate septic from gouty arthritis. The tests included serum UA, WBC, CRP, and synovial glucose, UA, IL-6, LDH, total protein	Inclusion Criteria: patients diagnosed with septic arthritis (by culture) or gouty arthritis (by synovial fluid analysis) Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Another arthropathy synovial fluid lactic acid Physician: Not specified or unclear Practitioner: Technician
Malik et al., 2009 ²⁷ Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[1]	Number of Patients: 82 Mean Age: 64.5 Age Range: NA Percent Female: 6 Mean Duration Current Flare: NA Mean Duration of Disease: NA	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: Crystal analysis vs. three diagnostic signs/symptoms: 1. ACR (ARA) Preliminary Criteria2. New York Criteria3. Rome Criteria	Inclusion Criteria: Synovial fluid aspirated and analyzed at some point. Exclusion Criteria: NA	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value False positivity Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Gout criteria Physician: Rheumatologist Not specified or unclear Practitioner: Technician

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Park et al., 2014 ⁴⁴ Location: Asia Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University hospital departments of rheumatology and laboratory medicine[2]	Number of Patients: 179 Mean Age: 62.6 ± 16.4 (age at diagnosis) Percent Female: 5.6 Mean Duration Current Flare: 3.3 ± 3.5 days	Test(s): Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis ACR/ARA guidelines All receive the reference standard: Yes	Inclusion Criteria: patients with a diagnosis of gout, who had undergone SF examination from October 1999 to September 2011 Exclusion Criteria: patients with unclassified acute arthritis, intercritical gout without acute symptoms, pseudogout, osteoarthritis, concomitant septic and gouty arthritis	Outcomes: Treatment decision resulting from diagnosis Misdiagnosis related harms Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Duration of current episode/flare/symptoms Type of clinician performing tests Patient age Type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome Crystal positive and crystal negative gout Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Technician
Rettenbacher et al., 2008 ³⁵ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: Academic radiology department[1]	Number of Patients: 105 Mean Age: 59 Age Range: 31-89 Percent Female: 12 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Ultrasound Plain x-ray Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis characteristic clinical and laboratory findings All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: NA	Inclusion Criteria: patients with suspected gout referred from rheumatology clinic Exclusion Criteria: inability to establish a definite diagnosis	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value Physician: Radiologists Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Richette et al., 2014 ³⁰ Location: Europe Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[14]	Number of Patients: 244 Mean Age: 59.8±12.5 years Age Range: NR Percent Female: 40.5% Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: 11 items Self-reported history of gout Male sex Self-reported history of hyperuricaemiaTophus Hypertriglyceridaemia Cardiovascular disease Pain intensity Involvement of toes, foot or ankles;Treatment with corticosteroids; Treatment with NSAIDs	Inclusion Criteria: gout or other arthritis Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: Duration of current episode/flare/symptoms Patient age Patient sex Type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome Another arthropathy Current use of medication Physician: Not applicable Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Vazquez-Mellado et al., 2012 ³¹ Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department[]	Number of Patients: 167 Mean Age: 54[16.8] for gout, 49.6 overall Age Range: NR Percent Female: 24% for gout, 7% for RA, 42% for SA, 10% for OA Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: NR	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Reference Standard: Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis All receive the reference standard: Yes Clinical Signs and Symptoms: >1 attack, mono/oligoarthritis, rapid onset of pain and swelling, podagra, erythema, tarsitis, probable tophi, hyperuricemia, and combinations of these items	Inclusion Criteria: For gout, positive synovial fluid MSU crystal analysis Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: Sensitivity or the number of false negatives Specificity or the number of false positives The positive predictive value The negative predictive value The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic likelihood ratios, odds ratio Patient Characteristics for Subgroup Analysis: possibly age, sex, and secondary gout, e.g., gout and comorbidity such as CRF, hematologic condition Physician: Not specified or unclear Practitioner: Unclear/not specified

Author, Year, Location (continent), Type of practice care setting(s) [# each]	Number of participants, Mean age, Age range, % female, Patients have gout at start of study, Mean duration of current flare, Mean duration of illness	Type of diagnostic test, Gold standard (reference test), Did all patients get the gold standard? If diagnostic test was a clinical sign, symptom or a composite of signs and symptoms, what did they include?	Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	Outcomes, Patient Characteristics, Type of physician, Type of practitioner
Wallace et al., 1977 ⁹ Location: North America Site(s) [Num. of Sites]: University rheumatology department [38 (probably some private, also)]	Number of Patients: 706 Mean Age: gout: 56.2; RA: 47.6 Age Range: NR Percent Female: gout: , RA: 64.313.6 Mean Duration Current Flare: NR Mean Duration of Disease: Gout: 10.1 years	Test(s): Some combination of clinical signs, symptoms, and history Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis Reference Standard: clinical opinion inferred Clinical Signs and Symptoms: >1 acute attack; maximum inflammation developed in 1 day; monoarthritis; redness over joint; first MTP painful or swollen; unilateral first MTP joint attack; unilateral tarsal joint attack; tophus (proven or suspected); hyperuricemia; asymmetric swelling within joint on x-ray; subcortical cysts without	Inclusion Criteria: NR Exclusion Criteria: NR	Outcomes: unclear Physician: Rheumatologist Practitioner: Rheumatologist

Table Notes: ACR (ARA)= American College of Rheumatology (American Rheumatology Association); AUC=Area Under the Curve; CRP= C-reactive protein; CS=Corticosteroid; CVD=Cardiovascular disease; DECT=Dual-energy computed tomography; LDH=Lactate dehydrogenase; MSU=monosodium urate; MTP =metatarsophalangeal; NA=Not Applicable; NR=Not reported; NSAIDS=Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OA=osteoarthritis; RA=Rheumatoid Arthritis; ROC=receiver-operating characteristics; SA=spondylo-arthritis, SUA=Serum Uric Acid, UA=Urinalysis, WBC=White blood count

Appendix D. Data Abstraction Tools

- 1. Diagnosis of gout data abstraction tool**
- 2. QUADAS-2 –Tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies**
- 3. AMSTAR-Assessment of Reporting Quality for Systematic Reviews**

1. Gout Data Abstraction Tool

1. Do you need another article to complete this form?

☐

Yes

☐

No

[Clear Response](#)

2. Did the patient population include only patients already diagnosed or assumed to have gout?

☐

Yes

☐

No

☐

Not reported

[Clear Response](#)

3. Diagnostic procedure(s) being tested (check all that apply):

☐

DECT

☐

Ultrasound

☐

Plain x-ray

☐

Some combination of clinical signs/symptoms/history

☐

Serum UA

☐

Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis (check only if some variation on the reference standard and not the standard itself)

☐

Other [Specify and STOP]

☐

Not reported

4. Location (country)

☐

North America

☐

Central/South America

☐

Europe

☐

Asia

☐

Australia/New Zealand

☐

Other (specify region)

5. Care setting and number of sites (check all that apply)

☐

Primary care provider's office

☐

Urgent care clinic

☐

Hospital emergency department

☐

Rheumatologist in private practice

☐

University rheumatology department

☐

Other [specify]

☐

Inpatient hospital/long term care only [STOP]

☐

Not reported

6. Number of patients total [specify]

7. Mean age +/- SD [specify]

8. Age range _ to _ [specify if given]

9. Percentage of patients who are female:

10. Mean duration of current flare episode:

11. Mean duration of disease:

12. Inclusion criteria [specify]

13. Exclusion criteria [specify]

14. If this study measures the validity of a diagnostic test, what is the reference standard:

☐

Synovial fluid aspiration and crystal analysis

☐

ACR/ARA guidelines

☐

Other [specify]

☐

Not reported [Exclude, STOP]

15. Did all patients receive the reference standard test?

☒

Yes

☒

No [Exclude]

☒

Unclear [Exclude]

[Clear Response](#)

16. Type of physician who performed diagnostic tests: (check all that apply)

☐

Family practice

☐

General Internist

☐

Rheumatologist

☐

Emergency physician

☐

Not specified or unclear

☐

Radiologists

☐

Not applicable

17. Type of practitioner who performed synovial fluid *analysis*:

☒

FP/internist/ED

☒

Rheumatologist

- ☒ Technician
- ☒ Unclear/not specified
- ☒ Not applicable

[Clear Response](#)

18. If the diagnostic procedure being tested is a clinical sign or symptom or some combination, what does it [do they] include? [specify; maybe more than one combination or may be comparison of two different signs vs. synovial fluid]

19. Were the findings stratified by any patient characteristics or comorbidities? (check all that apply)

- ☐ Duration of current episode/flare/symptoms
- ☐ Duration of these kinds of flares (e.g., first time patient vs. previous episodes)
- ☐ Type of clinician performing tests
- ☐ Type of physician
- ☐ Patient age
- ☐ Patient sex
- ☐ Serum uric acid
- ☐ Type 2 diabetes or metabolic syndrome
- ☐ Another arthropathy (e.g., osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis)
- ☐ Current use of medication (e.g., NSAIDs, steroids, drugs associated with gout flares)
- ☐ Other [specify]
- ☐ None

20. Study design (select one)

- ☒ Prospective
- ☒ Retrospective (case control)
- ☒ Other [specify]

[Clear Response](#)

21. Does the study report (check all that apply):

- ☐ Sensitivity or the number of false negatives (people who really have gout but who test negative when the test method is used)
- ☐ Specificity or the number of false positives (people who tested negative on the reference standard but who tested positive on the test method)
- ☐ The positive predictive value
- ☐ The negative predictive value
- ☐ The area under the ROC curve/AUC/c-statistic/concordance statistic
- ☐ Adverse effects associated with testing
- ☐ Pain, inflammation, or quality of life outcomes
- ☐ Treatment decision resulting from diagnosis

☐ Other [specify]

☐ None

22. Does this article appear to report on part of a larger study? (select one)

☒ Yes

☒ No

[Clear Response](#)

23. Does this article cite references we should get?

☒ Yes

☒ No

[Clear Response](#)

2. QUADAS-2: Tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies

Domain 1: Patient Selection

1. Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not applicable

[Clear Response](#)

2. Was a case-control design avoided?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

3. Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

4. Based on your answers for 1-3, could the selection of patients have introduced bias?

Risk:

- ☐ Low
- ☐ High
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

Domain 2: Index Test(s) (complete for each index test used)

1. Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the reference standard?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

2. If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

3. Based on your answers for 1-2, could the conduct or interpretation of the index test have introduced bias?

Risk:

- ☐ Low
- ☐ High
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

Domain 3: Reference Standard

1. Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target condition?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

2. Were the reference standard results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the index test?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

3. Based on your answers for 1-2, could the reference standard, its conduct, or its interpretation have introduced bias? Risk:

- ☐ Low
- ☐ High
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

Domain 4: Flow and Timing

1. Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference standard?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

2. Did all patients receive a reference standard?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear
- ☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

3. Did all patients receive the same reference standard?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unclear

☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

4. Were all patients included in the analysis?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Unclear

☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

5. Based on your answers for 1-4, could the patient flow have introduced bias? Risk:

☐ Low

☐ High

☐ Unclear

☐ Not Applicable

[Clear Response](#)

3. AMSTAR Assessment of Reporting Quality for Systematic Reviews

1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?

The research question and inclusion criteria should be established before the conduct of the review.

Note: Need to refer to a protocol, ethics approval, or pre-determined/a priori published research objectives to score a “yes.”

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?

There should be at least two independent data extractors and a consensus procedure for disagreements should be in place.

Note: 2 people do study selection, 2 people do data extraction, consensus process or one person checks the other's work.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?

At least two electronic sources should be searched. The report must include years and databases used (e.g., Central, EMBASE, and MEDLINE). Key words and/or MESH terms must be stated and where feasible the search strategy should be provided. All searches should be supplemented by consulting current contents, reviews, textbooks, specialized registers, or experts in the particular field of study, and by reviewing the references in the studies found.

Note: If at least 2 sources + one supplementary strategy used, select “yes” (Cochrane register/Central counts as 2 sources; a grey literature search counts as supplementary).

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?

The authors should state that they searched for reports regardless of their publication type. The authors should state whether or not they excluded any reports (from the systematic review), based on their publication status, language etc.

Note: If review indicates that there was a search for “grey literature” or “unpublished

literature,” indicate “yes.” SIGLE database, dissertations, conference proceedings, and trial registries are all considered grey for this purpose. If searching a source that contains both grey and non-grey, must specify that they were searching for grey/unpublished lit.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?
A list of included and excluded studies should be provided.

Note: Acceptable if the excluded studies are referenced. If there is an electronic link to the list but the link is dead, select “no.”

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?
In an aggregated form such as a table, data from the original studies should be provided on the participants, interventions and outcomes. The ranges of characteristics in all the studies analyzed e.g., age, race, sex, relevant socioeconomic data, disease status, duration, severity, or other diseases should be reported.

Note: Acceptable if not in table format as long as they are described as above.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?
'A priori' methods of assessment should be provided (e.g., for effectiveness studies if the author(s) chose to include only randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled studies, or allocation concealment as inclusion criteria); for other types of studies alternative items will be relevant.

Note: Can include use of a quality scoring tool or checklist, e.g., Jadad scale, risk of bias, sensitivity analysis, etc., or a description of quality items, with some kind of result for EACH study (“low” or “high” is fine, as long as it is clear which studies scored “low” and which scored “high”; a summary score/range for all studies is not acceptable).

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in

formulating conclusions?

The results of the methodological rigor and scientific quality should be considered in the analysis and the conclusions of the review, and explicitly stated in formulating recommendations.

Note: Might say something such as “the results should be interpreted with caution due to poor quality of included studies.” Cannot score “yes” for this question if scored “no” for question 7.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?

For the pooled results, a test should be done to ensure the studies were combinable, to assess their homogeneity (i.e., Chi-squared test for homogeneity, I^2). If heterogeneity exists a random effects model should be used and/or the clinical appropriateness of combining should be taken into consideration (i.e., is it sensible to combine?).

Note: Indicate “yes” if they mention or describe heterogeneity, i.e., if they explain that they cannot pool because of heterogeneity/variability between interventions.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?

An assessment of publication bias should include a combination of graphical aids (e.g., funnel plot, other available tests) and/or statistical tests (e.g., Egger regression test, Hedges-Olken).

Note: If no test values or funnel plot included, score “no”. Score “yes” if mentions that publication bias could not be assessed because there were fewer than 10 included studies.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

11. Was the conflict of interest included?

Potential sources of support should be clearly acknowledged in both the systematic review and the included studies.

Note: To get a “yes,” must indicate source of funding or support for the systematic review AND for each of the included studies.

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Can't answer
- ☐ Not applicable

Shea et al. BMC Medical Research Methodology 2007 7:10 doi:10.1186/1471-2288-7-10

Additional notes (in italics) made by Michelle Weir, Julia Worswick, and Carolyn Wayne based on conversations with

Bev Shea and/or Jeremy Grimshaw in June and October 2008 and July and September 2010.